## Miller-Rabin: Theory and Background

Scot Anderson, Ph.D.

February 16, 2009

## 1 Introduction

This lecture series gives an introduction to the theory used in the Miller-Rabin algorithm. We assume a knowlege of prime number concepts and factoring in general, however we do not cover nor do we assume a background in abstract algebra. This lecture is take from Sections 8.1 and 4.3 of (Stallings)

## 2 Prime Numbers

We start with a definition of prime numbers.

**Definition 1** A prime number is a number  $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  which has only two natural numbers that divide p evenly, p and 1. We denote the set of all prime numbers  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Any positive integer a can be written as a product of prime numbers. When we write this product down we have factored a and the multiplication expression is unique.

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_t^{a_t} \tag{1}$$

where  $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_t$ . We can write down a shorthand notation of (1) as follows

$$a = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{a_p} \tag{2}$$

Multiplying two numbers becomes the process of adding the exponents of the primes shared in common and including the other unique terms. If  $k = a \cdot b$ , then using the notation from (2)

$$k = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p^{k_p}$$

where  $k_p = a_p + b_p$ .

For example

$$9 \times 12 = (3^2)(3 \cdot 2^2) \\ = 3^3 \cdot 2^2$$

What does it mean in terms of factors if we say that a divides b? Simply put the factors of a must be contained in the factors of b. Suppose we let a = 15 and b = 165. Does 15 divide 165? Lets look at the factors.

$$15 = 3 \cdot 5$$
$$165 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$$

We see that the factors of 15 are indeed contained in 165. This amounts to simplifying a division problems.

$$\frac{3\cdot 5\cdot 11}{3\cdot 5} = 11$$

where crossing off the 3's and the 5's leaves 11.

This leads to another interesting mathematical problem. That of finding a gcd(a, b). But the gcd(a, b) is just the factors in common.

**Definition 2** The greatest common divisor of two natural numbers a, b denoted, gcd(a, b) is the largest natural number that divides both a and b. Mathematically this can be stated as

$$k = \gcd\left(a, b\right)$$

where  $k_p = \min(a_p, b_p)$ .

**Factoring a large number is no easy task**, so the preceeding information is useful for definition, but does not directly lead to a practical method of calculating the greatest common divisor.

## 3 Euclidean Algorithm

Section 2 talked about gcd of two numbers and the Euclidean algorithm is all about finding the gcd of two numbers. Let's start by considering a few special cases and definitions. First

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(|a|,|b|)$$
(3)

which just means that the gcd will always be positive and we can ignore the sign of the integers a and b.

We also have the obvious relation

$$gcd\left(p_1, p_2\right) = 1$$

where  $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ . But do  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  have to be prime? No,

$$gcd(9,4) = 1$$

and neither of these numbers is prime. But they are *relatively prime*.

**Definition 3** Two numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  are relatively prime if gcd(a, b) = 1.

Euclid's algorithm is based on the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** For any nonnegative integer a and any positive integer b and  $a \ge b$ ,

$$gcd(a,b) = gcd(b, a \mod b)$$
(4)

**Proof.** Let  $d = \gcd(a, b)$  where a, b satisfy the above conditions. Then by the definition of gcd,

$$d|a|$$
  
 $d|b|$ 

For any positive integer b, a can be expressed in the form

$$a = kb + r \equiv r(\text{mod } b) \tag{5}$$

$$a \mod b = r \tag{6}$$

This form is just equivalence modulo b and is an easy way to see the meaning of the equavalence relation symbol  $\equiv$ .

Now

$$d|a \Rightarrow d|[kb+r]$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} d|b &\Rightarrow d|kb \\ d|a &\Rightarrow d|kb + d|r \\ &\Rightarrow d|(a \mod b) \end{aligned}$$

hence the set of common divisor of a and b are equivalent to the set of divisors of b and  $a \mod b$ . From this we get the Euclidean algorithm:

 $\mathrm{EUCLID}(a, b)$ 

Proof.

- 1.  $A \leftarrow a; B \leftarrow b$
- 2. if B = 0 return A
- 3.  $R = A \mod B$
- 4.  $A \leftarrow B$
- 5.  $B \leftarrow R$
- 6. goto 2

See also Extended  $\operatorname{Euclid}(m, b)$  on page 111.

Finish up with a discussion of pages 242 and 243 ending in the miller rabin algorithm